

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/711,079	Applicant(s) BURDA ET AL.	
	Examiner Steven R. Garland	Art Unit 2125	

All Participants:

(1) Steven R. Garland.

(2) Mr. Rahman.

Date of Interview: 1 December 2006

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1,2,4,7,8,38,39,9,40,16,42,23,24,28,29,44, and 45

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

the examiner proposed to make certain changes to the claims by examiner's amendment to place the case in better form for allowance. Mr. Rahman agreed to the proposed changes set forth in the attached examiner' amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)